

STAFF SELECTION COMMISSION

Recruitment of Constable (GD) in BSF, CISF, CRPF and SSB, Examination, 2011 – Implementation of court order – reg.

In pursuance of High Court of Delhi order dated 14.7.2014 in WP 5722 of 2013 and order dated 21.11.2014 in the WP (C) No. 8004 of 2014 (Navrattan Singh and Others) v/s UOI and Another, following results were declared :-

- i) Revised result of 2530 candidates by replacing their Blank/Limited/Invalid preference with Default preferences as per Hon'ble Court order dated 21.11.2014 in WP(C) No. 8004 of 2014 .
 - ii) Revised result of 40 candidates, as per Hon'ble Court order dated 14.7.2014 in WP 5722 of 2013.
2. Hon'ble High Court of Delhi in order dated 5.5.2015 in WP(C) No. 3659/2015 (Pratap Singh Meena (Roll No 2405561121) v/s UOI & Anr. – Constable(GD) Exam, 2011) has inter-alia directed that :-

“1. The grievance of the writ petitioner is to the non-implementation of the order dated July 14, 2014 disposing of a batch of writ petitions lead matter being W.P.(C) No.5663/2013 Deepak Kumar & Ors. vs. Union of India & Ors.

2. The issue which was confronted by the Court was un-filled vacancies to the post of Constable (GD) in various Central Para Military Forces recruitment process whereof was initiated in the year 2011.

3. On being informed that some candidates had left Column No.17 blank i.e. had not filled up their preferences, was the reason for all posts not being filled up, the Court directed that on furnishing undertaking unconditionally W.P.(C) 3659/2015 Page 1 of 2 that they may be appointed for the vacancy year 2014-2015 i.e. do not claim notionally or actually any benefit of past service which possibly could have been rendered, Staff Selection Commission would allocate Central Para Military Forces to the candidates in random manner ignoring any preference, for the obvious reason that there was no preference.

4. Learned counsel for the respondents informs that the process is still on.

..... we dispose of the writ petition holding that at this stage no cause of action has accrued to the petitioner. We make it clear that once the allocation process is completed and letters offering appointment are issued to the selected candidates, if the petitioner has a grievance he may file a writ petition. ”

3. Incompliance, result of the candidates has been processed in the following manner:-
- (i) Blank/Invalid/Limited Preferences have been replaced with Default preferences in the Notice of Examination (ABCD) since the marks of last selected candidates in different CAPFs are different.

- (ii) Based on their merit, candidates have been selected with respect to the marks of last selected candidates already selected in Select List and Reserve List. Merit has been decided, as per Tie-criteria.
- (iii) Rank Numbers have not been allotted.
- (iv) Candidates will be adjusted against the vacancies for the year 2014-2015.

As per directions of the Court, revised result has been prepared for candidates with Blank/Limited/Invalid preferences by replacing Default preferences.

4. Following number of male candidates have qualified in the Revised Result:-

Preference: (BSF: A, CISF: B, CRPF: C, SSB: D)

Preference	No. of candidates
A	540
B	1
C	27
D	897
Total	1465

Result will be subject to order of the Hon'ble High Court of Delhi. In case the candidate has not submitted any undertaking till date he shall submit the same to the concerned CAPFs before his appointment.

5. Candidates in revised result have been allocated in merit order based on Total marks obtained by the candidates in the written examination. Tie cases have been resolved by –

- (a) Referring to the marks in Part A of the written paper i.e. a candidate having more marks in Part A is given preference.
- (b) If the tie still persists, the candidate older in age gets preference.
- (c) If the tie still persists, it is finally resolved by referring to the alphabetical order of names i.e. a candidate whose name begins with the alphabet which comes first in the alphabetical order gets preference.

6. Candidates will furnish an undertaking to the effect that they shall not claim any seniority or any consequential benefit qua those who are placed in the 'select list' or the 'reserve list' to be kept in their dossier. All selected candidates are to submit an undertaking to the concerned CAPF before offer of appointment is issued by the concerned CAPF, if otherwise eligible.

7. The List of candidates is subject to their fulfilling all the eligibility conditions prescribed in the Notice of the said examination

8. For candidates belonging to reserved categories for which certain percentage of vacancies are reserved as per policy of the Government, the category status is indicated against their roll numbers. It is important to note that some of these candidates have been declared qualified only in the category mentioned against their roll numbers. If any candidate

does not actually belong to the category mentioned against his name, he may not be eligible to be included in the list.

9. The detailed result of the male candidates recommended for appointment is available on the Commission's Website: <http://ssc.nic.in>.

Under Secretary (C1/2)
02.06.2015